# WEST END TRANSITWAY Policy Advisory Group Meeting #3



October 23, 2014

ALEXANDRIA ACCELERATED

### **MEETING AGENDA**

- 1 Project Progress
- Public Meeting Observations
- 3 Station Location Discussion
- Preliminary Evaluation of Alternatives
- 5 Discussion and Next Steps




Project Kick-Off	Existing Conditions	Definition of Alternatives	Evaluation of Alternatives	Environmental Assessment
PLANNING PROCESS	Purpose and Need  Existing Conditions Assessment	Preliminary Screening of Alternatives Definition of Evaluation Measures	Results of Evaluation  Draft Environmental  Assessment	Preferred Alternative Final Environmental Assessment
Winter 2014	Spring 2014	Fall 2014	Winter 2015	Spring 2015
PUBLIC PROCESS	May 22 Public Meeting #1	October 22 Public Meeting #2	Public Meeting to Comment on Study Recommendations	Public Meeting to Review Environmental Document

18 Months





# **PROJECT NEED**





## **AA STUDY ALTERNATIVES**



#### Build Alternative

- Frequent, continuous transit service along Van Dorn and Beauregard Streets
- Dedicated transit lanes along significant portions of corridor
- Infrastructure and operational elements to enhance transit operations

#### Transportation Systems Management (TSM) Alternative

- Frequent, continuous transit service along Van Dorn and Beauregard Streets
- New, limited-stop bus service along the entirety of the corridor
- Some traffic operational enhancements
- No major capital investment in new infrastructure for dedicated transit lanes

#### No Build Alternative

- Transit services in shared lanes similar to current conditions
- Includes already planned and programmed infrastructure, traffic operational and transit service improvements



# **AA and EA | PROJECT OUTCOMES**

- Policy Decision
  - Locally Preferred Alternative selected by City Council
    - Transit Technology
    - Alignment
    - Configuration
    - Project Cost Estimate
- Project Finance Strategy
- Approved Environmental Document
  - Finding by FTA after review by federal and state agencies



# Proposed LPA Recommendation & Decision Process

- PAG Recommendation to Transportation Commission
- Transportation Commission Recommendation to City Council
- Planning Commission Recommendation to City Council
- Work Session with City Council
- Public Hearing
- Selection of LPA by City Council



# 2 PUBLIC MEETING OBSERVATIONS



## **SUMMARY OF OBSERVATIONS**

#### **Alternatives**

- All-day, frequent service important
- Simple-legible service important
- Land use and transit are interdependent
- Address concerns about constrained R-O-W on Van Dorn Street
- Address traffic issues at N. Van Dorn & Sanger Ave
- Concern about parking impacts along N. Van Dorn near Sanger Avenue
- Service needs to connect transit centers, to other transit services, and to major corridor destinations

#### SUMMARY OF OBSERVATIONS

#### **Evaluation Measures**

- Consider measuring total corridor person throughput
- Travel time important
- Ridership/frequency/cost all related
- Traffic operations important cannot unduly impact car traffic
- Cost/finance very important need to be able to afford this over the long-term


# 3 STATION LOCATIONS



# S. VAN DORN STREET STATION LOCATIONS

## **Two Potential Options**

- One station at Edsall Road – matches current plans
- Two stations at Stevenson Avenue and at Pickett Street



# **COMPARISON**

Col	nsideration		Edsall Road Station Option	Picl	Pickett & Stevenson Stations Option		
	Property	0	Station contributes to minor additional impact on adjacent commercial and/or residential properties	•	Stations do not create impact beyond that related to transitway runningway needs		
Wa	alk Coverage	0	Longer walk from areas immediately south of Duke Street and north of railroad corridor	•	Better coverage to the corridor overall		
Ac	cess Quality	0	Station would be at widest intersection in corridor with longest pedestrian crossing	•	Stations located at "normal" types of intersections in corridor		
Sta	tion Spacing	0	Van Dorn to Edsall = 1.1 mi Van Dorn to Landmark Mall = 0.8 mi	•	Van Dorn to Pickett = 0.8 mi Pickett to Stevenson = 0.5 mi Stevenson to Landmark Mall = 0.6 mi		
Ridership Effects	Coverage	0	Fewer people and jobs served in a convenient walking distance	•	More people and jobs served in a convenient walking distance		
Ride	Travel Time	•	Shorter travel time	0	Longer travel time (+ 30 seconds)		
	evelopment pordination	•	Good coordination with development in Edsall Road vicinity, but less so approaching Eisenhower and Stevenson	•	Better coverage and coordination with development throughout corridor		



KEY

O Low

Medium


High

# PRELIMINARY EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES



### DRAFT EVALUATION MEASURES





- Estimated Ridership
- Transit Travel Times
- Other Transportation Modes
- Land Use Considerations
- Natural, social, and physical environment
- Financial



# 2015 PRELIMINARY TRANSIT PERFORMANCE



Pentagon Metrorail

#### **Corridor Peak Hour Travel Time**

Alignment	No Build	TSM	Build
Van Dorn to			
Pentagon (Green)	37 - 57 minutes (1 transfer)	32 - 36 minutes (no transfers)	28 - 33 minutes (no transfers)
Van Dorn to			
Shirlington (Red)	52 - 70 minutes (1 transfer)	28 - 31 minutes (no transfers)	23 - 28 minutes (no transfers)
Mark Center to			
Pentagon (Blue)	26 - 51 minutes (1 transfer)	20 - 22 minutes (no transfers)	19 - 22 minutes (no transfers)

Station -HOV Lanes HOV Lanes N. Beauregard and Rayburn Ave N. Beauregard and Sanger Ave N.Van Dorn and Sanger Ave N.Van Dorn and Holmes Run Pkwy Landmark Mall S.Van Dorn and Edsall Rd Van Dorn Metrorail Station

Note: Ranges represent AM and PM travel time estimates.



## 2015 PRELIMINARY TRANSIT PERFORMANCE


#### **Transit Reliability**

#### Generally,

No Build: Low

TSM: Improved

Build: High



Estimated Transit Travel Times: Van Dorn to Pentagon via Mark Center Northbound AM Peak




# **2015 PRELIMINARY TRANSIT PERFORMANCE**



#### **Estimated Ridership**

Description	No Build	TSM	Build
Metrobus	15,800	11,000	11,300
DASH	12,900	12,600	12,700
West End Transitway		8,000	9,200
<b>Total Corridor</b>	28,700	31,600	33,200



### 2015 PRELIMINARY TRAFFIC PERFORMANCE



### **Key Intersection Features**

Intersection	No Build Features (AM/PM LOS)		TSM Features (AM/PM LOS)		Build Features (AM/PM LOS)	
1 Van Dorn Street	No Change		No Change		Signal Pree Dedicate	mption and ed Lanes
& Eisenhower Avenue	D	С	D	С	D	D
Van Dorn Street & Sanger Avenue	Signal Preemption		Signal Preemption		Signal Preemption and Dedicated Lanes	
	С	D	D	D	D	3
3 Beauregard Street & Sanger Avenue	Signal Priority		Signal	Priority	Signal Pree Dedicate	mption and ed Lanes
	E	E	E	E	F	F
Beauregard Street & King Street	No C	hange	No C	hange	No Cl	nange
	С	C	С	c	С	С



Signal Priority: Buses receive additional "green time". Signal Preemption: Buses activate transit green signal.



## PRELIMINARY BIKE/PED PERFORMANCE

Measure	Description	No Build	TSM	Build	
Bicycle and	New/Improved Sidewalks	None	None	Shared use bicycle/ pedestrian path, widened	
Pedestrian	New/Improved Bicycle Facilities	None	None	sidewalks, and streetscape improvements in locations of transit improvements	




#### PRELIMINARY LAND USE CONSIDERATIONS



# **Comparison of Selected Land Use Criteria**

Description	No Build	TSM	Build
Allowable Development (Beauregard Small Area Plan and Landmark/Van Dorn Corridor Plan)	Beauregard SAP Cap at 1.5M sq ft Landmark/ Van Dorn at 0.75M sq ft	Combined Plans: 9M sq ft allowed	Combined Plans: 9M sq ft allowed
Helps Achieve Small Area Plan Vision	Does not contribute	Contributes somewhat	Complements vision





#### PRELIMINARY PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT EVALUATION



# **Potential Property and Parking Impacts**

Measure	Description	No Build & TSM	Build
Potential	Additional Right- of-way Required	None	3.3 acres
Property Impacts	Potential Property Acquisition	None	1 property
Potential Parking Impacts	Commercial Parking Spaces Impacted	None	112 spaces
	Residential Parking Spaces Impacted	None	30 spaces
	On-street Parking Spaces Impacted	None	None





#### PRELIMINARY SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT EVALUATION




- Low Income/Minority Populations: Moderate (TSM) to High (Build) Benefits
- Air Quality: Moderate benefits (TSM and Build)
- Community Facilities: Little to no impact
- Cultural Resources: Little to no impact
- Noise and Vibration Levels: Little to no impact



### PRELIMINARY NATURAL ENVIRONMENT EVALUATION

Project Alternatives would have little to no impact on:

- Parks
- Streams
- Wetlands and Floodplains
- Threatened and Endangered
   Species



# 5 DISCUSSION AND NEXT STEPS



#### **DISCUSSION AND NEXT STEPS**

- Winter 2014/2015
  - Alternatives Analysis Report
  - Request to Enter FTA Project Development
- Spring 2015
  - Environmental Assessment
  - Conceptual Engineering
  - Refined Cost Estimation
  - Financial Planning
  - Selection of LPA by City Council

www.alexandriava.gov/WestEndTransitway

